App. F. IX-4

Previous References

Law 49-35-1-2

1935-36
(Revenue)

Revenue File No. 159-35

Serial Nos. 1-2

Letter References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for February 1936

SUBJECT.

Recovery of certain amounts due by one Mr Shitabai Rao in respect of the properties in Sangli State and Venkatapura village belonging to the Sri Kudli Sringeri Matha

Ro 978-79

9 20-1-36

To

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

The R.C.

a complete through first Secretary

Sanctions the recommendation of the R.C.

Agreements &c.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 159 of 193 5. | [App. F. III—

Serial No.

Letter No. C 3114 '35

V

Y

The Financial Secretary may be consulted in the matter

BMS 18/12/35

21 The suggestion of the M.C. may be approved with pleasure. 18/12/35

Gen: Secy) 21 For favour of remarks.

21.12.35

Returned with compliments.

4 The Mazrai Commissioner's recommendation may be sanctioned. Prompt adjustment of such advances should be insisted on.

27-12-35

Put up draft order and please for orders to Gen Secy 27/12

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 18 DEC. 1935 791

FINANCE SECRETARIAT 1463 24 DEC. 1935 BANGALORE.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18 7 35

File No. of 193(.

eneral and Revenue Secretariat

Admiralty Orders Sanctioned in Principle.

For approval
2/1/36

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
2 JAN 1936
62

Ge

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
4 JAN 1936
No. 46.95

First Member

6/1/36

H.C. 1192

H.D. has agreed: Rate and fees fixed.

Issue
20/1/36

7/2

Mug 159-35-1 3379

M C 13.] No. C 349/35-6
Enc. 2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 26th November 1935.

4-12-35

FROM K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
~~N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Recovery of certain amounts due by one Mr. Hutchu Rao in respect of the properties in Sangli State and Venkatapura Village belonging to the Sri Kudli Sringeri Matha.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. P.2643/Law 49-35-3 dated 16th October 1935 re: the above, I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Government Agent that as negotiations for a private settlement failed, four suits were filed within the period for limitation.

2. Towards the cost of filing the suits and other incidental expenses an advance of Rs 300/- was sanctioned from the funds of the Matha in this office order No.C.349/35-36 dated 14th October 1935. The Comptroller to Government provisionally passed the bill and intimated that sanction of Government under Article 165(d) of the Mysore Civil and Accounts Code, Volume I, may be obtained and communicated. A copy of this office reply to the Objection Memo is enclosed for perusal. In his further communication dated 7th November 1935 (copy enclosed) he requests that in the circumstances stated orders of Government may be obtained to the effect that no previous approval for such advances is necessary. For reasons explained in my reply to the Comptroller to Government, I request you to kindly obtain orders of Government to the effect that sanction of Government to make advances from Matha Funds in respect of suits filed on behalf of the institution is not necessary, more particularly in cases in which the filing of the suit

has been previously approved by Government, as this will avoid considerable routine correspondence and delay.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. Abraham

Kuzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.B.II.415 dated 7-11-35 from the Comptroller to the Govern ment of Mysore to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your Memo No.C.349/35-36 dated 23-10-35, explaining the circumstances under which an advance of Rs 300/- towards the cost of filing suits was sanctioned from Sri Kudli Sringeri Mutt Funds and why the sanction of Government under Art.165(d) of M.C.A.C., Vol.I, is not considered necessary, I have the honor to state that in the circumstances stated orders of Government may be obtained to the effect that no previous approval for such advances is necessary.

"Copy"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Memo No.349/35-36 dated 24-10-35 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore to the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

The provision of the Code pointed out in the Objection Memo refers to suits to which Government is a party and does not seem to have any bearing on suits or proceedings conducted on behalf of Mutts under the temporary management of Government. Besides, advances are made out of funds belonging to the institutions themselves and not out of departmental budget grants as contemplated in Article 165(d) of the M.C.A.C. The Muzrai Commissioner is in direct administrative charge of these Mutts under General Powers of Attorney executed by the respective Mathadhipathis and sanction of Government for advances more particularly when the institution of the suit itself is sanctioned by Government ~~for advances more particularly when the institution of the suit itself is sanctioned by Government~~ does not seem to be necessary.

"Copy"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....

Section Rev 3

File No. 159-35

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue....20-1-.....193 6.

Signed by H.R. Muddukrishnappa

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

Read— Correspondence ending with Govt letter No P 2643/Law 49-35-2 dated 16.10.1935 to the M.C in Alglur instructing him to file a suit for the recovery of certain amounts due by one Mr. Hutchins Roo in respect of

Order thereon.—No. the properties in Sayli State and Venkatapura village belonging to the Sri Kunda Swamy Matha.

21 Letter No C 349/35-6 dated 4.12.35 from the M.C in Alglur requesting sanction to an advance of Rs 300 also for travel explained therein, order to the effect that sanction

for filing the suits referred to above and subjecting this is regd by the Comptr and soliciting

of Govt to make advances from
Deutsche funds in respect of Suits
filed on behalf of the ~~mil~~ Rubber
is not necessary, ~~for~~ in cases in which
have particularly
the filing of the Suit has been
previously advised by Govt; ~~at~~
~~to~~ ~~kill~~ avoid ~~Considerable~~
Routine Correspondence and
~~delay~~.

No 978-79 920-1-36

The recommendation of
the M.C is Sanctioned.
Such adjustment of such
advances should be insisted on.

List of Enclosure

Sec
24/4/36
Govt Legislative
Dept
21/4

To Sec

The M. C in Mythe
Copied by
Controller Chitpl
Examined